


5.00 credits	30.0 h	Q2
--------------	--------	----

Teacher(s)	Kieffer Suzanne ;
Language :	French
Place of the course	Louvain-la-Neuve
Main themes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Foundations and definitions of the user experience · User experience measures · User experience evaluation methods · Planning, data analysis and presentation of results · Integration of the user experience evaluation process into the development of interactive systems
Learning outcomes	<p>At the end of this learning unit, the student is able to :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List and define the conceptual elements and metrics of the user experience ; 2. Distinguish user experience evaluation methods in terms of purpose (goal), objectives (means to reach goal), type of collected data, and deliverables ; 3. Compare several methods, select the most efficient, argue the choice ; 4. Plan and conduct the evaluation of an interactive system and propose solutions improving the user experience with this system.
Evaluation methods	Continuous assessment without examination in June in three modes: knowledge tests (40% of final grade), group work in session (30% of final grade) and asynchronous group work (30% of final grade). In September, custom-made individual work to be handed in on the first day of the session.
Teaching methods	<p>The pedagogical approach is blended teaching, which alternates face-to-face classroom teaching with online distance learning via Microsoft Teams. Teaching methods include flipped classroom and project-based learning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flipped classroom: students study or complete an assignment at home and then meet with teachers and peers in a classroom to ask questions, get extra help or work in groups; • Project-based learning: students develop a project by combining online learning (e.g. watching tutorials or completing assignments) and face-to-face meetings.
Content	<p>Foundations and definitions of the user experience User experience measures User experience evaluation methods Planning, data analysis and presentation of results Integration of the user experience evaluation process into the development of interactive systems</p>
Inline resources	<p>Moodle (asynchronous): course slides, bibliographic resources, calendar, models and rubrics, H5P exercises, tests, assignments, workshops with peer assessment, Q&A forum Microsoft Teams (live): calendar, meetings, documents, discussion, lecture notes Web links: how-to videos, websites, online software</p>

<p>Bibliography</p>	<p>Javier A. Bargas-Avila and Kasper Hornbæk. 2011. Old wine in new bottles or novel challenges: a critical analysis of empirical studies of user experience. In <i>Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '11)</i>. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2011, 2689-2698. DOI=http://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979336</p> <p>Tiago Silva da Silva, Milene Selbach Silveira, and Frank Maurer. 2015. Usability evaluation practices within agile development. In <i>Proceedings of the 48th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS-48)</i>. IEEE, HI, 2015, 5133-5142. DOI=http://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2015.607</p> <p>Andrei Garcia, Tiago Silva da Silva, and Milene Selbach Silveira. 2017. Artifacts for Agile User-Centered Design: A Systematic Mapping. In <i>Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS-50)</i>. IEEE, HI, 2017, 10 pages. DOI=http://doi.org/10.24251/HICSS.2017.706</p> <p>Margherita Grandi, Fabio Peruzzini, and Marcello Pellicciari. 2017. A reference model to analyse user experience in integrated product-process design. In <i>Transdisciplinary Engineering: A Paradigm Shift: Proceedings of the 24th ISPE Inc. International Conference on Transdisciplinary Engineering</i>, Vol. 5, 243-250, July 2017. IOS Press. DOI=http://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-779-5-243</p> <p>Carine Lallemand, Guillaume Gronier, and Vincent Koenig. 2015. User experience: A concept without consensus? Exploring practitioners' perspectives through an international survey. <i>Computers in Human Behavior</i> 43 (2015): 35-48.</p> <p>Effie L-C. Law, Arnold P. O. S. Vermeeren, Marc Hassenzahl, and Mark Blythe (Eds.). 2007. Towards a UX Manifesto COST294-MAUSE affiliated workshop. In <i>Proceedings of the 21st British HCI Group Annual Conference on People and Computers: HCI...but not as we know it - Volume 2 (BCS-HCI '07)</i>, Vol. 2. BCS Learning & Development Ltd., Swindon, UK, 205-206.</p> <p>Effie L-C. Law, Nigel Bevan, Georgios Christou, Mark Springett and Marta Lárusdóttir (Eds). 2008. <i>Proceedings of the International Workshop on Meaningful Measures: Valid Useful User Experience Measurement (VUUM)</i>. COST294-MAUSE.</p> <p>Effie Lai-Chong Law, Virpi Roto, Marc Hassenzahl, Arnold P.O.S. Vermeeren, and Joke Kort. 2009. Understanding, scoping and defining user experience: a survey approach. In <i>Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '09)</i>. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 719-728. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/1518701.1518813</p> <p>Thomas Tullis and William Albert. 2013. <i>Measuring the User Experience, Second Edition: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting Usability Metrics</i> (2nd ed.). Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA.</p> <p>Arnold P. O. S. Vermeeren, Effie Lai-Chong Law, Virpi Roto, Marianna Obrist, Jettie Hoonhout, and Kaisa Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila. 2010. User experience evaluation methods: current state and development needs. In <i>Proceedings of the 6th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction: Extending Boundaries (NordiCHI '10)</i>. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 521-530. DOI=http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1868914.1868973</p>
<p>Other infos</p>	<p>All relevant information regarding these modalities and the progress of the activities (calendar, detailed instructions, evaluation criteria, etc.) are presented during the first course and are available on Moodle.</p> <p>Some resources (e.g. bibliographic resources, slides, explanatory videos) are in English.</p>
<p>Faculty or entity in charge</p>	<p>COMU</p>

Programmes containing this learning unit (UE)				
Program title	Acronym	Credits	Prerequisite	Learning outcomes
Master [120] in Communication	CORP2M	5		
Master [120] in Information and Communication Science and Technology	STIC2M	5		