

In view of the health context linked to the spread of the coronavirus, the methods of organisation and evaluation of the learning units could be adapted in different situations; these possible new methods have been - or will be - communicated by the teachers to the students.

5 credits	30.0 h	Q1
-----------	--------	----




This biannual learning unit is not being organized in 2019-2020 !

Teacher(s)	Chaumont Jean-Michel ;
Language :	French
Place of the course	Louvain-la-Neuve
Main themes	Sociologists and historians held a decisive position in the process of constructing social reality, particularly in societies where the scientific expertise is authoritative: the first are deemed able to describe and explain the contemporary social phenomena, the latter are expected the same with regard to the past. In both cases aspects considered problematic of the present and the past are frequently the objects of their research. Social scientists are obviously not responsible for these social problems but they have de facto an important collective responsibility while providing the description and explanation of social "pathologies". When they present "objectively" these "pathologies" to the politicians - who are often also their sponsors- in some categorization (for example as a "criminal" phenomenon rather than an "economic" one), the researchers influence the type of policies that are applicable. In this sense, their productions affect indirectly the experience of their contemporaries, particularly among the most vulnerable. Taking concrete examples as starting point, one will strive to discern the implications and the limits of this shared responsibility by mobilizing both social sciences practitioners' reflections and conceptual tools developed by contemporary philosophy.
Aims	<p>The first goal of the lecture is to raise the student's awareness to ethical issues related to the scientific production in social sciences. Often ignored because very diluted, the collective responsibility arising from the mission given to social scientist to say the truth about social reality has to be made conspicuous. The second goal is to provide them with the conceptual tools necessary to reflect and take, if they are willing so, a share of responsibility which shouldn't be nor neglected, nor overwhelming. A third goal will be to integrate the constraints resulting from the triple requirement to account to his peers, its sponsors and, last but not least, the subjects who have been his work "objects". One will try to explore the means at the researcher's disposal to protect his independence.</p> <p>-----</p> <p><i>The contribution of this Teaching Unit to the development and command of the skills and learning outcomes of the programme(s) can be accessed at the end of this sheet, in the section entitled "Programmes/courses offering this Teaching Unit".</i></p>

Bibliography

- ALLEN Charlotte, 1997, « Spies like us. When Sociologists deceive their subjects », disponible sur linguafranca.mirror.theinfo.org/9711/9711.allen.html
- BECKER Howard, 1964, « Against the Code of Ethics », *American Sociological Review*, 29, 409-410.
- BECKER Howard, 1967, "Whose side are we on?", *Social Problems*, vol. 14, n°3, 239-247.
- BERGER Peter (2006 [1963]), *Invitation à la sociologie*, trad. par Christine Merllié-Young, Paris, Editions La découverte.
- BLOCH Marc, 2007 [1949] , *Apologie pour l'histoire ou métier d'historien*, Paris, Armand Colin.
- BOAS F (1919), « Scientists as spies », *The Nation* (lettre reproduite dans Price, 2008, traduite en français par Dimitri della Faille, http://classiques.uqac.ca/classiques/boas_franz/scientifiques_espions/scientifiques_espions.html)
- BONNET et ROBERT, 2009 « La régulation éthique de la recherche aux Etats-Unis : histoire, état des lieux et enjeux », *Genèses*, 2, n°75, p. 87-108.
- BOSK C., 2004, « The Ethnographer and the IRB. Comment on Kevin D. Haggerty, « Ethics creep : governing social science research in the name of ethics », *Qualitative Sociology*, 27, 4, 417-420
- BOUHOURS T., BROADHURST R. KEO C., 2012, "Human Trafficking and moral panic in Cambodia : The unintended consequences of good intentions", Draft working paper December 18, 2012 for a forthcoming issue of the *Annals of the American Academy of Political Science* (disponible sur <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2190704>)
- BOURGOIS Philippe, 2001, *En quête de respect. Le crack à New York*, Paris, Le Seuil.
- BRANEV Vesko, 2009, *L' homme surveillé*, Préface de T. Todorov, Paris, Albin Michel
- BRIDGES David, 2002, « The Ethics of outsider research », in McNamee M. and Bridges Eds, *The ethics of educational research*, Oxford, Blackwell, (consulté en ligne sur http://scholar.google.be/scholar_url?hl=fr&q=http://www.ethiopia-ed.net/images/667904115.doc&sa=X&scisig=AAGBfm3mFuZHCc9omNJ-viPoVL_Ef02FQ&oi=scholar&ei=rwUmUZHDMeGK0AXnXw&ved=0CC4QgAMoADAA)
- BRODEUR Jean-Paul, 1983, *La délinquance de l'ordre. Recherches sur les commissions d'enquête I*, version numérisée disponible sur http://classiques.uqac.ca/contemporains/brodeur_jean_paul/delinquance_de_ordre/delinquance_ordre.pdf
- BULMER Martin (ed.), 1982, *Social Research Ethics. An Examination of the merits of Covert Participant Observation*, London, The MacMillan Press.
- BURLACU Roxana, 2006, *La vie qu'on a. Histoire d'une fille de l'Est*, Paris, Herman.
- BUTTERWORTH Douglas, 1972, "Oscar Lewis 1914-1970", *American Anthropologist*, New Series, Vol. 74, No. 3, pp. 747-757
- CALVEY D., 2008, « The Art and Politics of Covert Research : Doing 'Situated Ethics' in the Field », *Sociology*, 42, 905-918
- CEFAI Daniel, 2009, « Codifier l'engagement ethnographique. Remarques sur le consentement éclairé, les codes d'éthique et les comités d'éthique », disponible sur <http://www.laviedesidees.fr/Codifier-l-engagement.html>
- CEFAI Daniel (éditeur), 2009, *L'engagement ethnographique*, Paris, Ed. de l'EHESS.
- CHAUMONT J.-M., 2009, *Le mythe de la traite des blanches. Enquête sur la fabrication d'un fléau*, Paris, La Découverte.
- CONSTANT Monique, 2007, « Combats contre la traite des femmes à la Société des Nations (1920-1940), *Relations internationales*, 3, n°131
- CORBIN A., 1978, *Les filles de noce. Misère sexuelle et prostitution (19ème siècle)*, Paris, Champs Flammarion.
- CORNWELL Erin York, 2010, « Opening and closing the jury door room : a sociohistorical consideration of the 1955 Chicago Jury Project Scandal », *The Justice System Journal*, Vol. 31, n°1, 49-73, disponible en ligne : <http://www.ncsc.org/Publications/Justice-System-Journal/~media/Files/PDF/Publications/Justice%20System%20Journal/OPENING%20AND%20CLOSING%20THE%20JURY%20ROOM%20DOOR.ashx>
- CROUZET-PAVAN Elisabeth, 1995, *La mort lente de Torcello. Histoire d'une cité disparue*, Paris, Fayard.
- DELUMEAU Jean, 1978, *La peur en Occident. 14ème -18ème siècle*, Paris Fayard.
- DENZIN Norman K., 1968, "On the Ethics of Disguised Observation", *Social Problems*, 15, 502-504 (repris in Bulmer, 1982, 142-147)
- DESCHAMPS C., 2012, *Le fait sexuel (mémoire pour l'habilitation à diriger des recherches)*, Université Paris Ouest.
- DEVINE, Dennis J., « Chicago Jury Project », 2012, accessible sur <http://lawandjusticegov.org/psychology-and-law/trial-processes/84-chicago-jury-project.html>
- DIAMOND Sigmund, 1984, "Surveillance in the Academy: Harry B. Fisher and Yale University. 1927-1952", *American Quarterly*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 7-43
- DINGWALL R. (2008), « Aux armes, citoyens ! Résister au défi des réglementations éthiques dans les sciences humaines et sociales », *Mouvements*, 3, n°55-56, 142-154.
- DU TOIT B., 1980, « Informed Consent, and Fieldwork », *Journal of Anthropological Research*, vol. 36, n°3, 274-286
- ERIKSON K. 1995, "Commentary" [à Leo, 1995], *The American Sociologist*, Summer 1995, 4-11
- ERIKSON Kai T. 1996, "A Response to Richard Leo", *The American Sociologist*, Spring 1996, 129-130
- ERIKSON Kai T., 1967, « A comment on Disguised Observation in Sociology », *Social Problem*, vol. 14, n°4, pp. 366-373
- ERIKSON Kai T., 1968, "A Reply to Denzin", *Social Problems*, vol. 15, n°4, 505-506.
- FASSIN D., 2008, « Extension du domaine de l'éthique », *Mouvements*, 3, n°55-56, p. 124-127
- FASSIN D., 2008b, « Répondre de sa recherche. L'anthropologue face à ses autres », *Les politiques de l'enquête. Epreuves ethnographiques* (ss la dir. de D. Fassin et A. Bensa), Paris, La découverte, 299-319
- FESTINGER L., Henri Riecken et Stanley Shacte, 1956, *When Prophecy Fails*, Minneapolis, Uni. of Minnesota Press.
- FLUEHR-LOBBAN Carolyn, 2008, "Anthropology and ethics in America's declining imperial age", *Anthropology today*, vol. 24, n°4, 18-22.
- FRANKFURT Harry G., 2006, *De l'art de dire des conneries*, Paris, 10/18.
- FRICKER Miranda, *Epistemic Injustice. Power and the Ethics of Knowing*
UCL - en-cours-2019-Isoc2120 - page 2/4
- FROISSART P., 2002, *La rumeur. Histoire et fantasmes*, Paris, Belin.
- GAGNE Natacha, 2008, « Le savoir comme enjeu de pouvoir. L'ethnologue critiquée par les autochtones » in : *Les*

Faculty or entity in charge	PSAD
-----------------------------	------

Programmes containing this learning unit (UE)				
Program title	Acronym	Credits	Prerequisite	Aims
Certificat universitaire en éthique économique et sociale	ETES9CE	5		
Master [120] in Sociology	SOC2M	5		